

Meeting:	Licensing sub-committee
Meeting date:	11 April 2019
Title of report:	Application for a grant of a premises licence in respect of 'MaryGold, 14-15 Union Street, Hereford. HR1 2BT'– Licensing Act 2003
Report by:	Licensing Technical Officer

Classification

Open

Key Decision

This is not an executive decision.

Wards Affected

Hereford - Central

Purpose

To consider an application for a grant of a premise licence in respect of 'MaryGold, 14-15 Union Street, Hereford. HR1 2BT'.

Recommendation

THAT:

The sub-committee determine the application with a view to promoting the licensing objectives in the overall interests of the local community. They should give appropriate weight to:

- **The steps that are appropriate to promote the licensing objectives,**
- **The representations (including supporting information) presented by all parties,**
- **The guidance issued to local authorities under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003, and**
- **The Herefordshire Council Statement of Licensing Policy 2015 - 2020.**

Options

1. There are a number of options open to the sub-committee:
 - a) Grant the licence subject to conditions that are consistent with the operating schedule accompanying the application and the mandatory conditions set out in the Licensing Act 2003,

- b) Grant the licence subject to modified conditions to that of the operating schedule where the sub-committee considers it appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives and add mandatory conditions set out in the Licensing Act 2003,
- c) To exclude from the scope of the licence any of the licensable activities to which the application relates
- d) To refuse to specify a person in the licence as the premise supervisor, or
- e) To reject the application.

Reasons for Recommendations

- 2. Ensures compliance with the Licensing Act 2003.

Key Considerations

Licence Application

- 3. The application for a grant of the premises licence has received relevant representations and is brought before the sub committee for determination.
- 4. The details of the application are:

Applicant	Mikayeel Deen	
Solicitor	Not applicable	
Type of application:	Date received:	28 Days consultation ended
Grant	23 February 2019	22 March 2019

Summary of Application

- 5. The application (appendix 1) requests to grant a premises licence to allow the following licensable activities, during the hours shown, as follows:

Live Music, Recorded Music & Performances of Dance (Indoors/Outdoors)
Monday-Thursday 10:00-01:00
Friday-Sunday 10:00-02:00

Sale/Supply of Alcohol (consumption on and off the premises)
Monday-Thursday 10:00-01:00
Friday-Sunday 10:00-02:00

Late Night Refreshment (Indoors/Outdoors)
Monday-Thursday 23:00-01:00
Friday-Sunday 23:00-02:00

Non Standard Timings:
New Year's Eve & Day extend terminal hour until 03:00
Christmas Eve & Day extend terminal hour until 02:00

Premises History

6. The premises were previously licensed as Champagne Signature.
7. It subsequently changed its name to MaryGold.
8. From April 2015 until February 2017 Mikayeel Deen was the premises licence holder. He was designated premises supervisor (DPS) between April 2015 until July 2018.
9. A review of the premises licence was launched by West Mercia Police in September 2015 due to two members of staff found working illegally. The current applicant Mikayeel Deen was the licence holder.
10. In February 2017, Mikayeel Deen transferred the premises licence to MaryGold Hfd Ltd. Mikayeel Deen remained as the DPS.
11. In July 2018, the company MaryGold Hfd Ltd was dissolved. As a consequence the premises licence lapsed.

Summary of Representations

12. Two (2) representations have been received from responsible authorities (Environmental Protection and West Mercia Police). These can be found at appendix 2 and 3 respectively. The applicant has agreed some, but not all of the Environmental Protection conditions. The agreed and not-agreed conditions are summarised at appendix 4.

Community Impact

13. Any decision is unlikely to have any impact on the local community.

Equality duty

14. There are no equality issues in relation to the content of this report.
15. This report has human rights implications for both the premises licence holder and the residents from the local neighbourhood. Any of the steps outlined in section 1 of this report may have financial implications for a licensee's business and livelihood and/or may have impact upon the day to day lives of residents living in close proximity to the premises.
16. Article 8(i) of the European Convention of Human Rights provides that everyone has the right to respect for his/her private and family life and his/her home (which includes business premises). This right may be interfered with by the council on a number of grounds including the protection of rights and freedoms of others. The First Protocol – Article 1 – also provides that every person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions and shall not be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and conditions provided for by law. Members must accordingly make a decision which is proportionate to the hearing and endeavour to find a balance between the rights of the applicant, residents and the community as a whole.

Financial implications

17. There are unlikely to be any financial implications for the authority at this time.

Legal Implications

18. As relevant representations have been received, the sub committee must determine the application under Section 3.5.7 (c) of the Herefordshire Council constitution. The representations must relate to the licensing objectives and the sub committee must determine the likely effect of the grant of the premises licences on the promotion of the licensing objectives.
19. The licensing authority must have regard to the promotion of the four licensing objectives namely; the prevention of crime and disorder; public safety; the prevention of public nuisance; and the protection of children from harm in exercising its functions under the Licensing Act 2003. Further regard should be had to the statutory guidance under Section 182 of the Act and the Council's own statement of licensing policy. The options available to the licensing authority are set out in section 1 of this report.
20. The sub committee should be aware of a number of stated cases which have appeared before the Administrative Court and are binding on the Licensing Authority.
21. The case of Daniel Thwaites Plc v Wirral Borough Magistrates' Court (Case No: CO/5533/2006) at the High Court of Justice Queen's Bench Division Administrative Court on 6 May 2008, [2008] EWHC 838 (Admin), 2008 WL 1968943, Before the Honourable Mrs Justice Black.
22. In this case it was summed up that: -
- A licensing authority must have regard to guidance issued by the Secretary of State under section 182. Licensing authorities may depart from it if they have reason to do so but will need to give full reasons for their actions.
23. Furthermore the Thwaites case established that only conditions should be attached to a licence with a view to promoting the Licensing objectives and that 'real evidence' must be presented to support the reason for imposing these conditions.
24. This judgement is further supported in the case of The Queen on the Application of Bristol Council v Bristol Magistrates' Court, CO/6920/2008 High Court of Justice Queen's Bench Division The Administrative Court, 24 February 2009, [2009] EWHC 625 (Admin) 2009 WL 648859 in which it was said:
- 'Licensing authorities should only impose conditions which are necessary and proportionate for the promotion for licensing objectives'.
25. In addition to this it was stated that any condition attached to the licence should be an enforceable condition.

Right of Appeal

26. Schedule 5 gives a right of appeal which states:

Decision to grant premises licence or impose conditions etc.

- (1) This paragraph applies where a licensing authority grants a premises licence under section 18.
 - (2) The holder of the licence may appeal against any decision—
 - (a) to impose conditions on the licence under subsection (2)(a) or (3)(b) of that section, or
 - (b) to take any step mentioned in subsection (4)(b) or (c) of that section (exclusion of licensable activity or refusal to specify person as premises supervisor).
 - (3) Where a person who made relevant representations in relation to the application desires to contend—
 - (a) that the licence ought not to have been granted, or
 - (b) that, on granting the licence, the licensing authority ought to have imposed different or additional conditions, or to have taken a step mentioned in subsection (4)(b) or (c) of that section,he may appeal against the decision.
 - (4) In sub-paragraph (3) “relevant representations” has the meaning given in section 18(6).
27. Appeals should be made to the Magistrates Court and must be made within 21 days beginning with the day on which the appellant was notified by the licensing authority of the decision appealed against

Risk Management

28. There is little risk associated with the decision at this time as the legislation allows a right of appeal to the Magistrates Court within a period of 21 days of being notified of the decision in writing.

Consultees

29. All responsible authorities and members of the public living within Herefordshire.

Appendices

Appendix 1 - Application Form
Appendix 2 – Environmental Protection Representation
Appendix 3 – West Mercia Police Representation
Appendix 4 – Agreed Environmental Protection Conditions

Background Papers

None.